UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/934,738	08/22/2001	Ingo Molnar	019322-000340	9016
	7590 05/26/201 ¹ N ALLEN PLLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 13706			CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2445	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/934,738	MOLNAR, INGO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	AZIZUL CHOUDHURY	2445		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Ediaming (s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Detailed Action

This office action is in response to the correspondence received on February 24, 2010.

Withdrawal of Finality

Applicant's arguments within the appeal brief are deemed persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-4 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are not limited to tangible embodiments since they do not claim physical articles or objects as part of the claims to establish a statutory category. As such, the above claims are not limited to statutory subject matter and are, therefore, non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2445

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muthuswamy et al (US Pat No: 6,606,525) in view of Curtis (US Patent No: 6,934,761), hereafter referred to as Muthuswamy and Curtis, respectively.

1. With regards to claims 1, 5, 9 and 11, Muthuswamy teaches through Curtis, in a communication server, a method of responding to a client application, the method comprising the steps of: a cache disposed in an operating system kernel (Muthuswamy teaches a cache within a client computer; see column 2, lines 19-20. Muthuswamy); receiving from the client application an application protocol request (Muthuswamy teaches the client making a webpage request (application protocol request); see column 3, lines 39-41 and column 4, lines 43-44, Muthuswamy) corresponding to a response that can be displayed as a combination of a portion of the response that changes and a part of the response that is static (Muthuswamy teaches the requested webpage is received as a combination of dynamic content (portion of the response that changes) and static content; see column 4, lines 39-46, Muthuswamy); creating at the server the portion of the response that changes (Muthuswamy teaches the dynamic content portion of the webpage being from the server; see column 3, lines 39-41, Muthuswamy); sending the portion of the response that changes to the client application (Muthuswamy teaches the dynamic content portion of the webpage coming from the server; see column 4, lines 42-44, Muthuswamy) and then retrieving the part of the response that is static from a cache disposed in an

Application/Control Number: 09/934,738

Art Unit: 2445

operating system kernel (a kernel is an inherent part of an operating system and a server inherently has an operating system. Also see Curtis below); and sending the part of the response that is static to the client application (Muthuswamy teaches the static content being retrieved from the cache; see column 4, lines 39-46, Muthuswamy).

Page 4

While Muthuswamy teaches a system for a dynamic (portion that changes to the application) and static webpage, Muthuswamy does not explicitly recite a "response to a request." In the same field of endeavor, Curtis also teaches a web server design. Within Curtis' disclosure it is taught how a client makes a HTTP request (webpage request) and the server responds to request; see column 2, lines 48-51, Curtis. In particular, the request and response is handled by the cache within the kernel of the server; see column 2, lines 46-51, Curtis. Handling the server requests and responses at the kernel cache level allows for minimum processing resources to be required. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, during the time of the invention, to have combined the teachings of Muthuswamy with those of Curtis to handle web server requests and responses at the kernel cache level with minimum processing resources; see column 2, lines 35-36, Curtis.

2. With regards to claims 2, 6, 10, 13 and 14, Muthuswamy teaches through Curtis the method wherein the cache disposed within the operating system kernel is a

Art Unit: 2445

protocol object cache (see column 3, lines 14-15, Muthuswamy and see column 2, lines 46-51, Curtis).

- 3. With regards to claims 3, 4, 7, 8 and 12, Muthuswamy teaches through Curtis the method wherein the application protocol request and the reply are formatted according to a hypertext transmission protocol (HTTP) (see within Muthuswamy, Figure 2, element 32 indicates the URL supporting HTTP).
- 4. The obviousness statement applied to claims 1, 5, 9 and 11 are applicable to their respective dependent claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

A 101 rejection has been added due to the claims lacking associations with any physical components. This rejection can be overcome by associating the features within the claims with physical components to establish a statutory category.

Muthuswamy teaches a client server system wherein the client requests a webpage from the server that comprises dynamic (portions that change) and static content. The dynamic content is retrieved from the server whereas the static content is retrieved from the cache. The dynamic and static content are then merged to create the final webpage; see column 4, lines 39-44, Muthuswamy.

Art Unit: 2445

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AZIZUL CHOUDHURY whose telephone number is (571)272-3909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2445

/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/

Art Unit: 2445

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445